UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,011	10/687,011 10/16/2003 Pasi Laitinen		872.0160.U1(US)	7398
	7590 10/08/200 N & SMITH, PC	EXAMINER		
	DRIVE, Suite 202	BEAMER, TEMICA M		
SHELTON, CI	UU+04-UZ1Z		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/687,011		LAITINEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			TEMICA M.	BEAMER	2617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ears on the d	over sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum st- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period wil will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS  6(a). In no event  Il apply and will ecause the applica	S COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) file	ad on 10 lur	2008						
′=	•	2b)⊠ This a		n-final					
3)		′—			secution as to the	e merits is			
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims			,					
-	Claim(s) <u>1-29 and 31-41</u> is/are pend	ling in the a	nnlication						
				ideration					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-29 and 31-41</u> is/are rejected.								
·		ieu.							
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
0)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election req	allement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a)∏ acce <sub>l</sub>	pted or b)⊑	objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the d	rawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	_	)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da )  Notice of Informal F )  Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/687,011 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,011 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-29 and 31-41 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,765,891. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both inventions are drawn to a method of operating a mobile station in a GPRS GSM system wherein the mobile station reports neighbor cells listed in a neighbor cell list received in a packet measurement report.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-29 and 31-41 would be allowable once the above double patenting rejection has been overcome.

## Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TEMICA M. BEAMER whose telephone number is (571)272-7797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (alternate Fridays) 9:00am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,011 Page 4

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Appiah can be reached on (571) 272-7904. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Temica M. Beamer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2617